

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FIL | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/622,535 | 07/21/2003 | | Theirry Marnay | P07874US00/MP | 3880 |
| 881 | 7590 | 05/31/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| STITES & | | | PHILOGENE, PEDRO | | |
| SUITE 900 | H FAIKFA | X STREET | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| ALEXAND | RIA, VA | 22314 | | 3732 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 05/31/2005 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | ~~ | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Office As | dian Summanı | 10/622,535 | MARNAY.ET AL. | | | | | |
| Οπίζε Αδ | tion Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Pedro Philogene | 3732 | | | | | |
| The MAILING Period for Reply | DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address · | P- | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the second for reply specified for reply within the second for reply received by the second for reply | E OF THIS COMMUNICATION. available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 in the mailing date of this communication. ified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replectified above, the maximum statutory period vector extended period for reply will, by statute | Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from by, cause the application to become ABANDONE by date of this communication, even if timely filed | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ation. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to | communication(s) filed on 23 M | lay 2005. | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is I | FINAL. 2b) ☐ This | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| * | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s) | _ is/are rejected. | wn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | • | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification | on is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) |) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| • • | | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | , , | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | • • • • | tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob carniner. Note the attached Office | | ` ' | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C | c. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) So 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of applications. | ome * c) None of: I copies of the priority document I copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority ion from the International Burea | s have been received in Applicati | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Ci | ted (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's | Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure 8 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _ | Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) L. Notice of Informal P 6) . Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3732

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: FIGS. 1-18 relating to claims 2-6,32-34.

FIGS 1-6, 19-22 relating to claims 1,7-9, 28,29,36.

FIGS. 1-6, 23, 23A relating to claims 27,30,31,35,37-

40.

FIGS. 1-6, 24-37 relating to claims 10-26, 41-52.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Marvin Petry on 5/26/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,535

Art Unit: 3732

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pedro Philogene May 27, 2005

PEDRO PHILOGÉNE PRIMARY FXAMINER